arXiv:1408.6790v1 [math.RT] 28 Aug 2014

ON SYMPLECTIC PERIODS FOR INNER FORMS OF GL_n

MAHENDRA KUMAR VERMA

Abstract. In this paper we study the question of determining when an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ admits a symplectic model, that is when such a representation has a linear functional invariant under $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$, where D is a quaternion division algebra over a non-Archimedian local field k and $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ is the unique non-split inner form of the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$. We show that if a representation has a symplectic model it is necessarily unique. For $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ we completely classify those representations which have a symplectic model. Globally, we show that if a discrete automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ has a non-zero period for $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$, then its Jacquet-Langlands lift also has a non-zero symplectic period. A somewhat striking difference between distinction question for $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$, and $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$(with respect to $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ resp.) is that there are supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which are distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$. The paper ends by formulating a general question classifying all unitary distinguished representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$, and proving a part of the local conjectures through a global conjecture.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notation and Definitions 3
3. Uniqueness of symplectic models 4
4. Local theory 6
4.1. Orbits and Mackey theory 7
5. Global theory 12
6. Explicit examples of supercuspidals with symplectic period 14
7. Conjectures on distinction 16
References 19

1. Introduction

Let G be a group and H a subgroup of G. We recall that a complex representation π of G is said to be H-distinguished if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0,$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the trivial representation of H. When $G = \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$, and $H = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$, such representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$ are said to have a symplectic model. When k is a non-Archimedian local field of characteristic 0, and π

Key words and phrases. Symplectic Period, Jacquet-Langlands Correspondence.

is an irreducible admissible complex representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$, this question has been extensively studied by several authors starting with the work of M. J. Heumos and S. Rallis in [4]. A rather complete classification of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$-distinguished unitary representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$ is due to O. Offen and E. Sayag [11].

When F is a number field, the analogous global question is framed in terms of the non-vanishing of certain periods of automorphic forms f on $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$, where $\mathbb{A}$ is the ring of adèles of F, given by

$$\int_{H(F)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})} f(h)dh.$$

This question has been settled in [9, 10] and, in fact, Offen and Sayag treat some aspects of the local questions via global methods.

In this paper we study the irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which are $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$-distinguished, where $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$ constructed using the unique quaternion division algebra D over k (we will define this more precisely in Section 2). We proceed to state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. Then*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1.$$

The following theorem gives a partial answer to the question on distinction of a supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let π be a supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ with Langlands parameter $\sigma_\pi = \sigma \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ where σ is an irreducible representation of the Weil group W_k, and sp_r is the r-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ part of the Weil-Deligne group W'_k. Then if r is odd, π is not distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$.*

In section 6, we have constructed explicit examples of supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which are distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ for any odd $n \geq 1$, and in section 7 we prove a complete classification of discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which are distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ assuming globalization of locally distinguished representations to globally distinguished representations together with a natural global conjecture on distinction of automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$.

Here is a global theorem which is a simple consequence of Offen and Sayag's work.

Theorem 1.3. *Let D be a quaternion division algebra over F and $D_{\mathbb{A}} = D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}$. Let Π be an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ which appears in the discrete spectrum of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ and has non-vanishing period integral on $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D) \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$. Let $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ be the Jacquet-Langlands lift of Π. Then the representation $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ has non-vanishing period integral on $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) \setminus \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$.*

We now briefly describe the organization of this paper. In Section 2, we set up notation and give definitions. In this section we define the inner forms of a symplectic group over a local field k. In Section 3, we prove the uniqueness of the symplectic model for irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. In section 4, we are able to completely analyze the question of distinction of subquotients of principal series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ by $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$ via Mackey theory. In Section 5, we prove that non-vanishing of symplectic period of an irreducible discrete spectrum automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ is preserved under the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. In this section, we partially analyze distinction problem for supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. In Section 6, we construct examples of supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which are distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$. The paper ends by formulating a general question classifying all unitary distinguished representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$, and proving a part of the local conjectures through a global conjecture.

Acknowledgements. I am sincerely grateful to Dipendra Prasad for suggesting the problem and answering my questions patiently; in particular the example in Section 6, and the conjectures in section 7 are due to him. This paper would have not been possible without his help and ideas. I also thank him for reading the article carefully many times. I wish to thank my advisor Ravi Raghunathan for continuous encouragement during the preparation of this article. I am also thankful to the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research for financial support.

2. Notation and Definitions

Let k be a non-Archimedian local field of characteristic zero, and let D be the unique quaternion division algebra over k. We denote the reduced trace and reduced norm maps on D by $T_{D/k}$ and $N_{D/k}$ respectively. Let τ be the involution on D defined by $x \to \overline{x} = T_{D/k}(x) - x$.
For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$V_n = e_1 D \oplus .... \oplus e_n D$$

be a right D-vector space of dimension n.

Definition 2.1. *We define a Hermitian form on V_n by*

(1) $(e_i, e_{n-j+1}) = \delta_{ij}$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$;
(2) $(v, v') = \tau(v', v)$;
(3) $(vx, v'x') = \tau(x)(v, v')x'$, *for* $v, v' \in V_n, x, x' \in D$.

Let $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ be the group of isometries of the Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. The group $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ is the unique non-split inner form of the group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$. Clearly $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. The group $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ can also be defined as

$$\mathrm{Sp}_n(D) = \left\{ A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D) |\ AJ\ {}^t\bar{A} = J \right\},$$

where ${}^t\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{ji})$ for $A = (a_{ij})$ and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & . & & & \\ . & & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

For a right D-vector space V, let $\mathrm{GL}_D(V)$ be the group of all invertible D-linear transformations on V. Similarly, let $\mathrm{Sp}_D(V)$ be the group of all invertible D-linear transformations on V which preserve the above defined Hermitian form on V. Let ν denote the character of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which is the absolute value of the reduced norm on the group $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. For any p-adic group G, let δ_G denote the modular character of G. We denote the trivial representation of any group by $\mathbb{C}$. For any representation π, we will denote its contragredient representation by $\hat{\pi}$.

3. Uniqueness of symplectic models

In this section we will show that for an irreducible representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$, $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1$. This result is due to M. J. Heumos and S. Rallis [4] when D is replaced by a local field k. Our proof is a straightforward adaptation of their methods. We first need a result from [16] which gives the realization of the contragredient representation of an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let D be the quaternion division algebra over k, $x \to \overline{x} = T_{D/k}(x) - x$ be the canonical anti-automorphism of order 2 on D. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(D)$, and let $\sigma : G \to G$ be the automorphism of G given by $\sigma(g) = J\,({}^t\bar{g}^{-1})\,J$, where $\bar{g} = (\overline{g}_{ij})$ and J is the anti-diagonal matrix with all entries 1. Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ and π^σ be the representation defined by $\pi^\sigma(g) = \pi(\sigma(g))$. Then $\pi^\sigma = \hat{\pi}$, where $\hat{\pi}$ is the contragredient of π.*

Let k be a local field of characteristic different from 2, $\bar{k}$ the algebraic closure of k and M (resp. $\bar{M}$) denote the set of $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in k (respectively $\bar{k}$). Let σ denote an anti-automorphism on $\bar{M}$ of order 2. We will record two lemmas from [4] below.

Lemma 3.2 (Lemma 2.2.1 of [4])**.** *For any $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, there exists a polynomial $f \in \bar{k}[t]$ such that $f(A)^2 = A$.*

Proposition 3.3 (Proposition 2.2.2 of [4])**.** *For any $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{k})$, there exists $U, V \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{k})$ such that $\sigma(U) = U, \sigma(V) = V^{-1}$ and $A = UV$.*

Set $A^J = J\ {}^t\bar{A}J$ for $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. Then $A \to A^J$ is an anti-involution on $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ of order 2. By Proposition 3.3, over an algebraically closed field, there exist $U, V \in GL_{2n}(\bar{k})$, such that $V^J = V^{-1}, U^J = U$ and $A = UV$. Then $A^J = V^J U^J = V^{-1}U = V^{-1}AV^{-1}$. Since $V \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\bar{k})$ if and only if

$V \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\bar{k})$ and $V^J = V^{-1}$, A^J and A lie in the same double cosets over algebraic closure.

The next result shows that A and A^J lie in the same double coset of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. Let us first recall a theorem due to Kneser and Bruhat-Tits.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be any semi-simple simply connected group over p-adic field k. Then $H^1(k, G) = 0$.*

The theorem above will be used in conjunction with our modification of Lemma 2.3.3 [4] given below.

Proposition 3.5. *Let D be a quaternion division algebra over a local field k of characteristic zero. Let $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. Then there exist $P_1, P_2 \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$, such that $A^J = P_1 A P_2$.*

Proof. Consider the set

$$V(A) = \{(P_1, P_2) \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(D) \times \mathrm{Sp}_n(D) | A^J = P_1 A P_2\}.$$

The assertion contained in the proposition is equivalent to saying that $V(A)$ is non-empty. Clearly $V(A)$ is an algebraic subset of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\bar{k}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\bar{k})$. Note that $A \cap A\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)A^{-1}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which leaves the symplectic form associated with the matrix $J' = {}^t\bar{A} J A^{-1}$ invariant. Denote the group $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D) \cap A\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)A^{-1}$ by $\mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$. Consider the right action of $\mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ on $V(A)$ by $R\,(P_1, P_2) = (P_1 R^{-1}, A^{-1} R A P_2)$. Since $P_1 R^{-1} A A^{-1} R A P_2 = P_1 A P_2 = A^J$, $(P_1 R^{-1}, A^{-1} R A P_2) = R(P_1, P_2) \in V(A)$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} R(P_1, P_2) &= (P_1 R^{-1}, A^{-1} R A P_2), \\ S(R(P_1, P_2)) &= (P_1 R^{-1} S^{-1}, A^{-1} S A A^{-1} R A P_2), \ . \\ &= (P_1 R^{-1} S^{-1}, A^{-1} S R A P_2) \end{aligned}$$

for $R, S \in \mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ and $(P_1, P_2) \in V(A)$, verifying that we do indeed have an action. We check that this action is fixed point free. This is because if $R(P_1, P_2) = (P_1, P_2)$ for $R \in \mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ and $(P_1, P_2) \in V(A)$, then $P_1 R^{-1} = P_1$ which gives $R = 1$

We next check that the action is transitive. For this let $P = (P_1, P_2)$ and $Q = (Q_1, Q_2)$ be two points in $V(A)$. We need to prove that there exists $R \in \mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ such that $RP = Q$, that is, that $R(P_1, P_2) = (Q_1, Q_2)$, or equivalently that

$$(P_1 R^{-1}, A^{-1} R A P_2) = (Q_1, Q_2).$$

Let $R = Q_1^{-1} P_1 \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ then $P_1 R^{-1} = Q_1$. With this choice of R

$$A^{-1} R A P_2 = A^{-1} Q_1^{-1} P_1 A P_2 = A^{-1} Q_1^{-1} Q_1 A Q_2 = Q_2.$$

In the second equality we have used the definition of $V(A)$ because of which $A^J = P_1 A P_2 = Q_1 A Q_2$. Also $P_1 A P_2 = Q_1 A Q_2$ gives

$$R = Q_1^{-1} P_1 = A Q_2 P_2^{-1} A^{-1} \in A\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)A^{-1}.$$

Hence, $R \in \mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ which shows that the action of $\mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ on $V(A)$ is transitive. Therefore $V(A)$ is a right principal homogeneous space for the group $\mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$.

Klyachko proved that over an algebraically closed field, $\mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ is an extension of a product of symplectic groups by a unipotent group. Therefore, over a general field, $\mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ is an extension of a form of a product of symplectic groups by a unipotent group, that is, there exists an exact sequence of algebraic groups of the form

$$1 \to U \to \mathrm{Sp}(J, J') \to S \to 1,$$

with S, a form of a product of symplectic groups. Therefore we get the following exact sequence of Galois cohomology sets:

$$H^1(k, U) \to H^1(k, \mathrm{Sp}(J, J')) \to H^1(k, S).$$

It is well-known that $H^1(k, U) = 0$ for any unipotent group U over a field of characteristic zero [17]. Since by Theorem 3.4, $H^1(k, S) = 0$, the exact sequence above gives $H^1(k, \mathrm{Sp}(J, J')) = 0$. Since $V(A)$ is a principal homogeneous for $\mathrm{Sp}(J, J')$ and $H^1(k, \mathrm{Sp}(J, J')) = 0$, it follows that $V(A)(k) \neq \emptyset$, proving the proposition. □

We recall the following result from [13].

Lemma 3.6. *Let G be an l-group and H be a closed subgroup of G such that G/H carries a G-invariant measure. Suppose $x \to \bar{x}$ is an anti-automorphism of G which leaves H invariant and acts trivially on those distributions on G which are H bi-invariant. Then for any smooth irreducible representation π of G,* $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \dim \mathrm{Hom}_H(\hat{\pi}, \mathbb{C}) \leqslant 1$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(D), H = \mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$, and let i be the anti-automorphism on G given by $A \to {}^J A^{-1}$ Then for any smooth irreducible representation π of G,* $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(\hat{\pi}, \mathbb{C}) \leqslant 1$.

Proof. The hypotheses of Lemma 3.6 follow from Proposition 3.5 by standard methods in Gelfand-Kazhdan theory. Hence, the corollary is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.6. □

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.8. *Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$. *Then* $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \leqslant 1$.

Proof. Let (π_1, V) be the representation defined by $\pi_1(g) = \pi({}^J g^{-1})$.
Let $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(\pi_1, \mathbb{C})$. Then $\lambda(\pi_1(g)v) = \lambda(v)$ which gives $\lambda(\pi({}^J g^{-1})v) = \lambda(v)$. Since H is invariant under $g \to {}^J g^{-1}$, $\lambda(\pi(g)v) = \lambda(v)$ for $g \in H$, so $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(V, \mathbb{C})$. The other inclusion follows similarly. Therefore, $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)}(\pi_1, \mathbb{C})$. Now the result follows from Theorem 3.1 and above corollary. □

4. Local theory

The aim of this section is to analyze the principal series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ which have a symplectic model. This can be easily done by the usual Mackey theory which is what we do here.

4.1. **Orbits and Mackey theory.** Let H and P be two closed subgroups of a group G and let (σ, W) be a smooth representation of P. We assume that G and H are unimodular. Also, assume that $H\backslash G/P$ has only two elements, that is, the natural action of H on G/P has two orbits, which we will call O_1 and O_2.

Assume without loss of generality that the orbit O_1 of H through eP is closed and the orbit O_2 is open. Let H_1 be the stabilizer in H of the element eP in G/P, then $H_1 = P \cap H$. Choose an element x in G such that the coset xP lies in O_2. Then $H_2 = \mathrm{Stab}_H(xP) = H \cap xPx^{-1}$. Therefore, $O_1 \simeq H/H_1$ and $O_2 \simeq H/H_2$. Using Mackey theory we obtain an exact sequence of H-representations:

$$0 \to \mathrm{ind}_{H_2}^{H} \sigma_2 \to \mathrm{Ind}_{P}^{G} \sigma|_H \to \mathrm{Ind}_{H_1}^{H} \sigma_1 \to 0,$$

where

$$\sigma_1(h) = (\delta_P/\delta_{H_1})^{1/2}\, \sigma(h) \text{ for } h \in H_1,$$

and

$$\sigma_2(h) = (\delta_P/\delta_{H_2})^{1/2}\, \sigma(h) \text{ for } h \in H_2.$$

The question of the existence of an H-invariant linear form for π can thus be addressed by studying H-invariant linear forms for representations of H induced from its subgroups

Now we apply the Mackey theory discussed above to the our situation for $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(D), H = \mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$ and a parabolic subgroup P of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$.

Let V be a 2-dimensional Hermitian right D-vector space with a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of V with $(e_1, e_1) = (e_2, e_2) = 0$ and $(e_1, e_2) = 1$. Let X be the set of all 1-dimensional D-subspaces of V. The group $G = \mathrm{GL}_D(V)$ acts naturally on V, and induces a transitive action on X, realizing X as homogeneous space for G. Then the stabilizer of a line W in G is a parabolic subgroup P of G, with $X \simeq G/P$. Using the above basis, $\mathrm{GL}_D(V)$ can be identified with $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$. For $W = \langle e_1 \rangle$, P is the parabolic subgroup consisting upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$. As we have a Hermitian structure on V, $H = \mathrm{Sp}_D(V) \subset \mathrm{GL}_D(V)$.

We want to understand the space $H\backslash G/P$. This space can be seen as the orbit space of H on the flag variety X. This action has two orbits. One of them, say O_1, consists of all 1-dimensional isotropic subspaces of V and the other, say O_2 consists of all 1-dimensional anisotropic subspaces of V. Here, the one dimensional subspace generated by a vector v is called isotropic if $(v, v) = 0$; otherwise, it is called anisotropic. The fact that $\mathrm{Sp}_D(V)$ acts transitively on O_1 and O_2 follows from Witt's theorem [7, page 6, §9], together with the well known theorem that the reduced norm $N_{D/k} : D^\times \to k^\times$ is surjective, and as a result if a vector $v \in V$ is anisotropic, we can assume that in the line $\langle v \rangle = \langle v \cdot D \rangle$ generated by v, there exists a vector v' such that $(v', v') = 1$.

It is easily seen that the stabilizer of the line $\langle e_1 \rangle$ in $\mathrm{Sp}_D(V)$ is

$$P_H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & \bar{a}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in D^\times, b \in D, a\bar{b} + b\bar{a} = 0 \right\}.$$

Now we consider the line $\langle e_1+e_2\rangle$ inside O_2. To calculate the stabilizer of this line in $\mathrm{Sp}_D(V)$, note that if an isometry of V stabilizes the line generated by e_1+e_2, it also stabilizes its orthogonal complement which is the line generated by e_1-e_2. Hence, the stabilizer of the line $\langle e_1+e_2\rangle$ in $\mathrm{Sp}_D(V)$ stabilizes the orthogonal decomposition of V as

$$V = \langle e_1+e_2\rangle \oplus \langle e_1-e_2\rangle,$$

and also acts on the vectors $\langle e_1+e_2\rangle$ and $\langle e_1-e_2\rangle$ by scalars. Thus the stabilizer in $\mathrm{Sp}_D(V)$ of the line $\langle e_1+e_2\rangle$ is $D^1\times D^1$ sitting in a natural way in the Levi $D^\times\times D^\times$ of the parabolic P in $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$. Here D^1 is the subgroup of $D^\times$ consisting of reduced norm 1 elements in $D^\times$.

Now consider the principal series representation $\pi=\sigma_1\times\sigma_2 := \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}\sigma$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$, where $\sigma=\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2$ is an irreducible representation of $D^\times\otimes D^\times$. We analyze the restriction of π to $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$. By Mackey theory, we get the following exact sequence of $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$ representations

$$0\to \mathrm{ind}_{D^1\times D^1}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)\,|_{D^1\times D^1}]\to\pi\to\ \ \mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}[(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)\,|_{M_H}]\to 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Here ν is the character on P_H given by

$$\nu\left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ 0 & \bar a^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\right] = \left|N_{D/k}(a)\right|$$

Suppose π has a nonzero $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form. Then one of the representations in the above exact sequence,

$$\mathrm{ind}_{D^1\times D^1}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)\,|_{D^1\times D^1}] \text{ or } \mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}[(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)\,|_{M_H}], \tag{4.2}$$

must have an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant form. First, consider the case when

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}(\mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}[(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)\,|_{M_H}],\mathbb{C})\neq 0.$$

Since H/P_H is compact, by Frobenius reciprocity, this is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{M_H}(\nu^{1/2}\,(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)\,,\nu^{3/2})\neq 0.$$

Since $M_H=\{\left(d,\bar d^{-1}\right)|d\in D^\times\}\simeq\Delta(D^\times\times D^\times)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}((\sigma_1\otimes\hat\sigma_2)\,,\nu)\neq 0,$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2\otimes\nu)\neq 0, \tag{4.3}$$

or

$$\sigma_1\simeq\nu\otimes\sigma_2.$$

Now assume that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}(\mathrm{ind}_{D^1\times D^1}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)\,|_{D^1\times D^1}],\mathbb{C})\neq 0.$$

Then by Frobenius reciprocity, this is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^1\times D^1}((\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2),\mathbb{C})\neq 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let (σ, V) be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $D^\times$ with $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^1}(V, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$. Then σ is one dimensional.*

Proof. By a theorem due to Matsushima [8], D^1 is the commutator subgroup of $D^\times$. Since D^1 is a normal subgroup of $D^\times$, $V^{D^1} \neq \{0\}$ is invariant under $D^\times$ and so by the irreducibility of V, $V = V^{D^1}$. Since (σ, V) is an irreducible representation of $D^\times$, on which D^1 operates trivially, (σ, V) as a representation of $D^\times/D^1$ is also irreducible. Since $D^\times/D^1$ is abelian, σ must be one dimensional. □

From the analysis above, we deduce that if the representation

$$\pi = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 := \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)$$

has an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form, then either

(1) $\sigma_1 \simeq \sigma_2 \otimes \nu$, or
(2) both σ_1 and σ_2 are 1-dimensional representations of $D^\times$, hence are of the form $\sigma_1 = \chi_1 \circ N_{D/k}$, $\sigma_2 = \chi_2 \circ N_{D/k}$ for characters $\chi_i : k^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Further, we note that the closed orbit for the action of $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$ on $P \setminus \mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ contributes to a $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant form in the first case above, whereas it is the open orbit which contributes to a $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form in the second case. Since the part of the representation supported on the closed orbit arises as a quotient of π, we find that in the first case π must have a $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form.

If $\dim(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) > 1$, then the open orbit cannot contribute to an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form, and therefore we conclude that if $\dim(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) > 1$, then $\pi = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ has an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant form if and only if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \otimes \nu$. Observe that if π has an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form, and is irreducible, then by an analogue of a theorem of Gelfand-Kazhdan [3] due to Raghuram [16], $\hat{\pi}$ too has an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form. However, if $\pi = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$, and π is irreducible, then $\hat{\pi} = \hat{\sigma}_1 \times \hat{\sigma}_2$, and if $\sigma_1 \simeq \sigma_2 \otimes \nu$, we get $\hat{\sigma}_1 \simeq \hat{\sigma}_2 \otimes \nu^{-1}$. This means by our analysis above that the representation $\hat{\sigma}_1 \times \hat{\sigma}_2$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ does not carry an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form. Therefore, we conclude that if $\sigma_1 \simeq \sigma_2 \otimes \nu$, then $\pi = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ must be reducible, which is one part of the following theorem of Tadic [18].

Theorem 4.2. *(Tadic) Let σ_1 and σ_2 be two irreducible representations of $D^\times$. Let $\pi = \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)$ be the corresponding principal series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$. Assume dim$(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) > 1$. Then π is reducible if and only if $\sigma_1 \simeq \sigma_2 \otimes \nu^{\pm 1}$. If π is reducible then it has length two. Assuming $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \otimes \nu$, we have the following non-split exact sequence:*

$$0 \to \mathrm{St}(\pi) \to \pi \to \mathrm{Sp}(\pi) \to 0,$$

where $\mathrm{St}(\pi)$ is a discrete series representation called a generalized Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(\pi)$ is called a Speh representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$. If $\dim(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) = 1$, then $\pi = \chi_1 \times \chi_2$ is reducible if and only if $\sigma_1 \simeq \sigma_2 \otimes \nu^{\pm 2}$. If $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \otimes \nu^2$, π has a one dimensional quotient, and the submodule is a twist of the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$.

In the exact sequence of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$-modules

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\nu^{-1/2} \otimes \sigma_1\nu^{1/2}) \to \mathrm{St}(\sigma_1) \to 0,$$

and assuming that $\dim(\sigma_1) > 1$, we know by our previous analysis that $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\nu^{-1/2} \otimes \sigma_1\nu^{1/2})$ does not have an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form. Therefore, from the exact sequence above, it is clear that $\mathrm{St}(\sigma_1)$ also does not have an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form.

On the other hand, we know that $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\nu^{1/2} \otimes \sigma_1\nu^{-1/2})$ does have an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form, and $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\nu^{1/2} \otimes \sigma_1\nu^{-1/2})$ fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{St}(\sigma_1) \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\nu^{1/2} \otimes \sigma_1\nu^{-1/2}) \to \mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1) \to 0.$$

Since we have already concluded that $\mathrm{St}(\sigma_1)$ does not have an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form and since $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\nu^{1/2}\otimes\sigma_1\nu^{-1/2})$ has a $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form, we conclude that $\mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1)$ must have an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form.

Having completed the analysis of $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear forms on representations $\pi = \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ with $\dim(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) > 1$, we turn our attention to the case when σ_1 and σ_2 are both one dimensional representations of $D^\times$. In this case, the part of π supported on the open orbit, which is a submodule of π, contributes to an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form. Suppose that $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \otimes \nu$, as otherwise there is an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form arising from the closed orbit.

Since the part of π supported on the open orbit, that is, $\mathrm{ind}_{D^1\times D^1}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)$, is a submodule of π, it is not obvious that an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form on $\mathrm{ind}_{D^1\times D^1}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)$ will extend to an $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form on π. For this, as in [13], we need to ensure that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)\mid_{M_H}, \mathbb{C}] = 0.$$

For proving this, we recall the notion of the Euler-Poincaré pairing between two finite length representations of any reductive group G, defined by

$$\mathrm{EP}_G[\pi_1, \pi_2] = \sum_{i=0}^{r(G)} (-1)^i \dim\, Ext^i_G[\pi_1, \pi_2],$$

where $r(G)$ is the split rank of G which for $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$ is 1. Therefore, for $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$,

$$\mathrm{EP}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\pi_1, \pi_2] = \dim\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\pi_1, \pi_2] - \dim\, Ext^1_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\pi_1, \pi_2].$$

By a well known theorem, $\mathrm{EP}_G[\pi_1, \pi_2] = 0$ if π_1 is a (not necessarily irreducible) principal series representation of G. Therefore, we find that

$$\mathrm{EP}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2), \mathbb{C})] = 0,$$

and so

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2), \mathbb{C})] = \dim \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2), \mathbb{C}].$$

Since we are assuming that $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \otimes \nu$,

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2), \mathbb{C})] = 0.$$

Therefore we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_{P_H}^{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}\nu^{1/2}(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2),\mathbb{C}]=0.$$

As a result, we now have proved that if σ_1 and σ_2 are one dimensional representations of $D^\times$, with $\sigma_1\neq\sigma_2\otimes\nu$, then $\pi=\sigma_1\times\sigma_2$ has a $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$-invariant linear form.

We have proved most of the following theorem, which we will now complete.

Theorem 4.3. *The only subquotients of a principal series representation* $\pi=\sigma_1\times\sigma_2:=\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)$ *of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ *which have a* $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$*- invariant linear form are the following.*

1. *When* $\dim(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)>1$*, the unique irreducible quotient of the principal series representation* $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma\nu^{1/2}\otimes\sigma\nu^{-1/2})$ *denoted by* $\mathrm{Sp}(\sigma)$.
2. *When* $\dim(\sigma_1)=\dim(\sigma_2)=1$*, any of the irreducible principal series representations* $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)$*, whenever* $\sigma_1\neq\sigma_2\otimes\nu^{\pm2}$.
3. *When* $\dim(\sigma_1)=\dim(\sigma_2)=1$*, and* $\sigma_1=\sigma_2\otimes\nu^2$*, the principal series representation* $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\sigma_1\nu\otimes\sigma_1\nu^{-1})$ *fits in the following exact sequence:*

$$0\to\mathrm{St}\otimes\chi\to\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\chi\nu\otimes\chi\nu^{-1})\to\mathbb{C}_\chi\to0,$$

where $\mathbb{C}_\chi$ *is the one dimensional representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ *on which* $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ *operates by the character* $\chi\circ N_{D/k}$*,* $N_{D/k}$ *is the reduced norm map and* St *is the Steinberg representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$*. The only subquotient of* $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\chi\nu\otimes\chi\nu^{-1})$ *having* $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$*-invariant linear form is* $\mathbb{C}_\chi$.

Proof. The only part of this theorem not shown by the arguments above is that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{St},\mathbb{C}]=0,$$

where St is the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$, an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ fitting in the exact sequence

$$0\to\mathrm{St}\to\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\nu\otimes\nu^{-1})\to\mathbb{C}\to0.$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[-,\mathbb{C}]$ to this exact sequence, we have:

$$0\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}]\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\nu\otimes\nu^{-1}),\mathbb{C}]\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{St},\mathbb{C}]$$
$$\to\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}]\to\cdots.$$

However, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C}]=0$. Therefore, we have a short exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbb{C}\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\nu\otimes\nu^{-1}),\mathbb{C}]\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{St},\mathbb{C}]\to0.$$

Hence, if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{St},\mathbb{C}]\neq0$, $\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\nu\otimes\nu^{-1}),\mathbb{C}]\geqslant2$. However, by the analysis with Mackey theory done above, we know that $\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\nu\otimes\nu^{-1}),\mathbb{C}]=1$. Thus we have proved that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)}[\mathrm{St},\mathbb{C}]=0.$$

□

Remark 4.4. As an important corollary of the theorem above, note that the irreducible principal series representation $\pi = \chi_1 \times \chi_2 := \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_2(D)}(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)$ for characters χ_1 and χ_2 of $D^\times$ which arise from the characters χ_1 and χ_2 of $k^\times$ via the reduced norm map of $D^\times$ to $k^\times$, with $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} \neq \nu^{\pm 2}$, the representation π is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D)$. However $\mathrm{JL}(\pi)$, a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ is the irreducible principal series representation $\mathrm{JL}(\pi) = \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{GL}_4(k)}(\chi_1 \mathrm{St}_2 \otimes \chi_2 St_2)$ where St_2 denote the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$. Since $\mathrm{JL}(\pi)$ is a generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$, it is not distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_4(k)$. Thus Jacquet-Langlands correspondence for representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$ to $\mathrm{GL}_4(k)$ does not always preserve distinction.

5. Global theory

Let F be a number field and D be a quaternion division algebra over F. For each place v of F, let F_v be the completion of F at v. We can define $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ as in the local case in the Section 2.

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the ring of adèles of F. Let $D_v = D \otimes_F F_v$ and $D_{\mathbb{A}} = D \otimes_F \mathbb{A}$. Then we can consider topological groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$, $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$, $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}}), \mathrm{Sp}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$, $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F), \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$. For an automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$, we denote by $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$, its Jacquet-Langlands lift to $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

In this section, we will prove that the a non-vanishing symplectic period of a discrete automorphic representation is taken to a non-vanishing period by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. In [10], Offen studied the symplectic periods on the discrete automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$. For an automorphic form f in the discrete spectrum of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, consider the period integral

$$\int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)\backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(h)dh.$$

We say that an irreducible, discrete automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$-distinguished if the above period integral is not identically zero on the space of Π. We now recall a result from [12] that we will use in this section.

Theorem 5.1. *Let F be a number field and let $\Pi = \otimes'_v \Pi_v$ be an irreducible automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ in the discrete spectrum. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *Π is $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$-distinguished,*
2. *Π_v is $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F_v)$-distinguished for all places v of F,*
3. *Π_{v_0} is $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F_v)$-distinguished for some finite place v_0 of F,*

Jacquet and Rallis have shown in [5], that the symplectic period vanishes for a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, that is

$$\int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F)\backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)} f(h)dh = 0.$$

In the next theorem, in the spirit of Jacquet-Rallis result mentioned above, we prove that those cuspidal automorphic representations Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ for which

$\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, have vanishing symplectic periods.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that* Π *is a cuspidal automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ *whose Jacquet-Langlands lift* $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ *to* $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ *is cuspidal then the symplectic period integrals of* Π *vanish identically.*

Proof. Assume if possible that Π has a non-zero symplectic period. Then Π_v has a non-zero symplectic period for all places v of F. The representations $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ and Π are the same at all places v of F where D splits and therefore by the Theorem 3.2.2 of [4], Π_v is not generic for any v where D splits. Since a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is globally generic, the local representations Π_v are locally generic for all v, which gives a contradiction. □

Theorem 5.3. *If* Π *is an automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ *which appears in the discrete spectrum, and is distinguished by* $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ *then* $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$*, which is an automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$*, is globally distinguished by* $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$*.*

Proof. If Π is $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$-distinguished, then it is locally distinguished at all places v of F. Also we know that D splits at almost all places of F so $\Pi_v = \mathrm{JL}(\Pi)_v$ at almost all places of F. By Theorem 5.1, global distinction of Jacquet-Langland lift $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ is a consequence of local distinction at any place v of F which we know. □

Remark 5.4. If Π is a global automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ which is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ with a local component $\Pi_v = \chi_1 \times \chi_2$, a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D_v)$ for characters $\chi_1, \chi_2 : D_v^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, then $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$, an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$, must be distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ by Theorem 5.3. Since $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi_v) = \chi_1 \circ \mathrm{St} \times \chi_2 \circ \mathrm{St}$ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k_v)$, this seems to be in contradiction to the fact that $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ is globally distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$. The source of this apparent contradiction is the fact that in this case, $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)_v = \chi_1 \times \chi_2$ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_4(k_v)$, as follows from the work of Badulescu.

A supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$ is not distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$. The situation in the case of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ is different, that is, it may happen that a supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$. We have an example of distinguished supercuspidal representations due to Dipendra Prasad in the next section. The following theorem gives a partial answer to the question on distinction of a supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let* π_v *be a supercuspidal representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ *with Langlands parameter* $\sigma_{\pi_v} = \sigma \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ *where* σ *is an irreducible representation of the Weil-group* W_k*, and* sp_r *is the* r*-dimensional irreducible representation of* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *part of the Weil-Deligne group* W_k'*. Then if* r *is odd,* π_v *is not distinguished by* $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$*.*

Proof. Assuming r is odd, we prove that π_v is not distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$. Using a theorem of [15], we globalize π_v to be globally distinguished automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ where D is a global division algebra over a number field F such that $F_v = k$, and $D \otimes F_v = D_v$.

Using the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of Badulescu, we get an automorphic representation $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which is locally distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F_w)$ at all places w of F where D splits. By a theorem of Offen-Sayag, $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ is globally distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$. By work of Badulescu, $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)_v$ is one of the following

(1) $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)_v = \mathrm{JL}(\Pi_v)$, a discrete series representation, or
(2) $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)_v =$ a Speh representation with Langlands parameter

$$\sigma \otimes (\nu^{(r-1)/2} \oplus \nu^{(r-3)/2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \nu^{-(r-1)/2}).$$

The first choice being a discrete series representation, in particular generic, is never distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F_v)$. The fact that the second choice is also not distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F_v)$ uses that r is odd, and is consequence of a theorem of Offen-Sayag about them. □

Remark 5.6. The only place we used supercuspidality of the representation π_v of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ with Langlands parameter $\sigma_{\pi_v} = \sigma \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ where σ is an irreducible representation of the Weil-group W_k, and sp_r is the r-dimensional irreducible representation of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ part of the Weil-Deligne group W'_k is in the globalization theorem of [15]. If we grant ourselves such a globalization theorem for discrete series too, then we have the same conclusion as in the theorem.

The theorem below together with local analysis done in Section 4 completes the distinction problem for $\mathrm{GL}_2(D)$.

Theorem 5.7. *No discrete series representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(D_v)$ *is distinguished by* $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D_v)$.

Proof. By our local analysis, we know this already for those discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D_v)$ which are not supercuspidal. By the previous theorem, we also know that no supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D_v)$ is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_2(D_v)$ as long as its Langlands parameter is not of the form $\sigma_\pi = \sigma \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ where $r = 2, 4$. But by the work of Badulescu (cf. Proposition 7.2 below), such Langlands parameter correspond to non-supercuspidal discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(D_v)$, completing the proof of theorem.

□

6. Explicit examples of supercuspidals with symplectic period

In this section we construct examples of supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which are distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ for any odd $n \geq 1$.

Recall that $\mathcal{O}_D$ is the maximal compact subring of D with π_D a uniformizing parameter of $\mathcal{O}_D$, and $\mathcal{O}_D/\langle \pi_D \mathcal{O}_D \rangle \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the residue field of k. The anti-automorphism $x \to \bar{x}$ of D preserve $\mathcal{O}_D$ and acts as the Galois involution of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Recall also that we have defined $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ to be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ by:

$$\mathrm{Sp}_n(D) = \left\{ A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(D) |\ AJ\ {}^t\bar{A} = J \right\},$$

where ${}^t\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{ji})$ for $A = (a_{ij})$ and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O}_D) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_D)$, and taking the reduction of these compact groups modulo π_D, we have:

$$\mathrm{U}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}),$$

where U_n is defined using the Hermitian form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let π_{00} be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, n an odd integer, and $\pi_0 = \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{00})$ be the base change of π_{00} to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$. Using the reduction mod π_D : $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_D) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$, we can lift π_0 to an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_D)$ to be denoted by π_0 again. Let χ be a character of $k^\times$ which matches with the central character of π_0 on $\mathcal{O}_k^\times$. Then*

$$\pi = \mathrm{ind}_{k^\times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_D)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(D)}(\chi \cdot \pi_0)$$

is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ which is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$.

Proof. The fact that π is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D)$ is a well-known fact about compact induction valid in a great generality once we have checked that $\pi_0 = \mathrm{BC}(\pi_{00})$ is a cuspidal representation. This assertion on $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ follows from the fact that n is odd in which case we have a diagram of fields:



In particular,

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Thus given a character $\chi_{00} : \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ whose Galois conjugate are distinct (and which gives rise to the cuspidal representation π_{00} of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$), the character $\chi_0 : \mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ obtained from χ_{00} using the norm map: $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2n}}^\times \to \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^\times$, has exactly n distinct Galois conjugates, therefore χ_0 gives rise to a cuspidal representation π_0 of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ which is the base change of the representation π_{00} of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

The distinction of π by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D)$ follows from the earlier observation that reduction mod π_D of the inclusion $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathcal{O}_D) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_D)$ is

$$\mathrm{U}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}),$$

together with the well-known fact, Theorem 2 of [14], that irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ which are base change from $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are distinguished by $\mathrm{U}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. □

Remark 6.2. (1) The Langlands parameter of the irreducible representation $\pi = \mathrm{ind}_{k^\times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_D)}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(D)}(\pi_0)$ is of the form $\sigma = \sigma_0 \otimes \mathrm{sp}_2$ where σ_0 is the Langlands parameter of the supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ compactly induced from the representation $\chi \cdot \pi_{00}$ of $k^\times \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_k)$, and sp_2 is the 2-dimensional natural representation of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ part of the Weil-Deligne group $W_k' = W_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of k.

(2) If, on the other hand, the cuspidal representation π_0 of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ is not obtained by base change from $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then the Langlands parameter of such a π is that of the cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(k)$ which is obtained by compact induction of the representation of $k^\times \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ which is χ on $k^\times$, and on $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_k)$ it corresponds to a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which is the automorphic induction of the representation π_{00} of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ (and which is cuspidal since we are assuming that the representation π_0 of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ is not a base change for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$).

7. Conjectures on distinction

The following conjectures have been proposed by Dipendra Prasad.

(1) An irreducible discrete series representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$ if and only if π is supercuspidal and the Langlands parameter σ_π of π is of the form $\sigma_\pi = \tau \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ where τ is irreducible and sp_r is the r-dimensional natural representation of the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ part of the Weil-Deligne group $W_k' = W_k \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of k for r even. By Proposition 7.2 below, this is the case if and only if $r = 2$, and n is odd. (This is thus exactly the case in which we constructed in the last section a supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ which is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$.)

(2) We follow the notation of Offen-Sayag, Theorem 1 of [11], to recall that the unitary representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{2k}(F_v)$ which are distinguished

by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F_v)$ are of the form

$$\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_t \times \tau_{t+1} \times \cdots \times \tau_{t+s},$$

where σ_i are the Speh representations $U(\delta_i, 2m_i)$ for discrete series representations δ_i of $\mathrm{GL}_{r_i}(F_v)$, and τ_i are complementary series representations $\pi(U(\delta_i, 2m_i), \alpha_i)$ with $|\alpha_i| < 1/2$. We suggest that unitary representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$ are exactly those representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ which are of the form

$$\pi = \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_t \times \tau_{t+1} \times \cdots \times \tau_{t+s} \times \mu_{t+s+1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{t+s+r},$$

where

(a) The parameter σ_π of π is relevant for $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$, that is, all irreducible subrepresentations of σ_π have even dimension.
(b) σ_i and τ_i are as in the theorem of Offen-Sayag recalled above.
(c) μ_i are supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(D_v)$ as in Part (1) of the conjecture.

(3) A global automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ if and only $\mathrm{JL}(\Pi)$ as an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (which is same as Π at places of F where D splits) is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

Proposition 7.1. *The global conjecture in part 3 above implies the local conjecture in part 1.*

Proof. To prove the Proposition, note that a discrete series representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ with parameter $\tau \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ with r odd is not distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$ as follows from Theorem 5.5 and the remark 5.6 following it (which assumes validity of the globalization theorem of [15] for discrete series representations).

Now we prove that a non-cuspidal discrete series representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ with parameter $\tau \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ with r even are not distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$. Again we will grant ourselves an automorphic representation Π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$ which is globally distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$. By the Jacquet-Langlands transfer, we get a representation $JL(\Pi)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and therefore by the theorem of Offen-Sayag $JL(\Pi)$ is in the residual spectrum with the Moeglin-Waldspurger type, $JL(\Pi) = \Sigma \otimes \mathrm{sp}_d$, where Σ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F)$ for some integer r, and d is a certain even integer; here the notation $\Sigma \otimes \mathrm{sp}_d$ is supposed to denote a certain Speh representation. The only option for d in our case is $d = r$, and $\Sigma_v = \tau$. By Proposition 7.3 below, we get a contradiction to π being a non-cuspidal discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$.

Finally we prove that if we have a cuspidal representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ with parameter $\tau \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ with r even, so $r = 2$, and $\dim \tau = n$ odd, then π is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_v)$.

Construct an automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ whose local component at the place v of F has Langlands parameter τ with $\dim \tau = n$. Since τ is an irreducible representation of the Weil group, we are considering supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F_v)$, and therefore globalization is possible.

We moreover assume in this globalization that the global automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is supercuspidal at all places of F where D is not split. By Moeglin-Waldspurger, this gives an automorphic representation say Π of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ in the residual spectrum, which by the theorems of Offen and Sayag is distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$. By the work of Badulescu, Π can be lifted to $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$, which by our global conjecture (3) above is globally distinguished by $\mathrm{Sp}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$, and therefore locally distinguished at every place of F. It remains to make sure that in this Jaquet-Langlands transfer from $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ to $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_{\mathbb{A}})$, the local representation obtained for $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ is the cuspidal representation π with parameter $\tau \otimes \mathrm{sp}_2$; this is forced on us when π is cuspidal by lemma 7.4 below. (The representation π could have changed to its Zelevinsky involution, but π being cuspidal remains invariant under the Zelevinsky involution.) □

The following proposition is due to Deligne-Kazhdan-Vigneras [2], Theorem B.2.b.1, as well as Badulescu, proposition 3.7 of [1].

Proposition 7.2. *A discrete series representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$*, where* D_v *is an arbitrary division algebra over the local field* F_v*, with parameter* $\tau \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$ *is a cuspidal representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ *if and only if* $(r, n) = 1$*.*

In the following proposition, we refer to Badulescu [1] for the notion of a d-compatible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{nd}(F_v)$.

Proposition 7.3. *Let* D_v *be a division algebra over a local field* F_v *of dimension* d^2*. The map* $|\mathbf{LJ}|$ *from* d*-compatible irreducible admissibile unitary representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_{nd}(F_v)$ *to irreducible unitary representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ *takes a Speh representation associated to a cuspidal representation on* $\mathrm{GL}_{nd}(F_v)$ *to either a cuspidal representation on* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$*, or to a Speh representation, i.e., the image under* $|\mathbf{LJ}|$ *of a Speh representation associated to a cuspidal representation on* $\mathrm{GL}_{nd}(F_v)$ *is never a non-cuspidal discrete series representation on* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$*.*

Proof. The proof follows from the fact that $|\mathbf{LJ}|$ commutes with the Zelevinsky involution, and that the Zelevinsky involution of a discrete series representation is itself if and only if the discrete series representation is supercuspidal. (We apply this latter fact on $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$.) □

We also had occasion to use the following lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *The map* $|\mathbf{LJ}|$ *from* d*-compatible irreducible admissibile unitary representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_{nd}(F_v)$ *to irreducible unitary representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ *has fibers of cardinality one or two over a discrete series representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$*, and if of cardinality two, the two elements in the fiber are Zelevinsky involution of each other, and the image consists of a cuspidal representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$*.*

Proof. Assume that we are considering the fibers of the map $|\mathbf{LJ}|$ over a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(D_v)$ with Langlands parameter $\tau \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$. All

the representations in the fiber are contained in the principal series representation

$$\tau\nu^{(r-1)/2} \times \tau\nu^{(r-3)/2} \times \cdots \times \tau\nu^{-(r-1)/2}.$$

It is well-known that there are exactly two irreducible unitary representations among sub-quotients of this principal series, one of which is the Langlands quotient which is a Speh module, and the other the discrete series representation with parameter $\tau \otimes \mathrm{sp}_r$, proving the lemma. □

References

[1] Alexandru Ioan Badulescu (With an appendix by Neven Grbac). Global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, multiplicity one and classification of automorphic representations. *Invent. Math.*, 172(2):383–438, 2008.

[2] P. Deligne, D. Kazhdan, M. -F. Vignéras, *Représentations des algèbres centrales simples p-adiques,* in Représentations des groups réductifs sur un corps local, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris, 1984, pp. 33117.

[3] I. M. Gelfand and D. A. Kazhdan. *Representations of $Gl(n, K)$ in Lie Groups and their Representations 2*, Akadémiai Kiado, Budapest, 1974.

[4] Michael J. Heumos and Stephen Rallis. Symplectic-Whittaker models for GL_n. *Pacific J. Math.*, 146(2):247–279, 1990.

[5] Harvé Jacquet and Stephen Rallis. Symplectic periods. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 423:175–197, 1992.

[6] A. A. Klyachko. Models for complex representations of groups $GL(n, q)$. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 120(162):371–386, 1983.

[7] C. Moeglin, M-F Vigneras, J.-L. Waldspurger, *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adique,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics. **1291**, Springer Verlag, 1987.

[8] T. Nakayama and Y. Matsushima. Uber die multiplikative Gruppe einer p-adischen Divisionsalgebra. *Proceedings of the Imperial Academy of Japan*, vol. 19, 1943 .

[9] Omer Offen. Distinguished residual spectrum. *Duke Math. J.*, 134(2):313–357, 2006.

[10] Omer Offen. On sympletic periods of discrete spectrum of GL_{2n}. *Israel J. Math.*, 154:253–298, 2006.

[11] Omer Offen and Eitan Sayag. On unitary representations of GL_{2n} distinguished by the symplectic group. *J. Number Theory*, 125(2):344-355, 2007.

[12] Omer Offen and Eitan Sayag. Uniqueness and disjointness of Klyachko models. *J. of Functional analysis*, 254:2846-2865, 2008.

[13] Dipendra Prasad. Trilinear forms for representations of $GL(2)$ and local ϵ-factors. Compositio Mathematica, 75:1–46, 1990.

[14] Dipendra Prasad. Distinguished representations for quadratic extensions. Compositio Mathematica, 119(3):343–354, 1999.

[15] Dipendra Prasad and R. Schulze Pillot. Genralised form of a conjecture of Jacquet and a local consequence. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 616: 219–236, 2008.

[16] A. Raghuram. *Some topics in algebraic groups: Representation theory of $GL_2(\mathfrak{D})$ where $\mathfrak{D}$ is a division algebra over a nonarchemedian local fields*, thesis, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, University of Mumbai, 1999.

[17] J. P. Serre. Cohomologie Galoisienne. *2nd ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, 1964.

[18] Marko Tadić. Induced representations of $GL(n, A)$ for p-adic division algebras A. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 405:48–77, 1990.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay
E-mail address: mahendra@math.iitb.ac.in